

# **BOCA ENGINEERING CO. | SPAR**

STRUCTURAL & CIVIL CONSULTANTS

# BRITISH COLUMBIA BUILDING CODE ENGINEERING EVALUATION REPORT

Date | 2022-12-31 Report No. | 0078-7-4-5874 For | Trex Company, Inc.

Address | 160 Exeter Dr., Winchester, VA 22603-8605

# **Subject**

# Trex Transcend® Railing

# **Evaluation Scope**

This report is provided to assist registered design professionals and building officials in Canada with determining compliance to the performance objectives in the named building codes.

The material(s) and system(s) described herein have been evaluated to the 2018 British Columbia Building Code (BCBC), Division A, Sections 1.2.1.1.(1)(a) and 1.2.1.1.(1)(b) for compliance with the objectives and functional statements attributed to the applicable acceptable solutions in Division B, for buildings classified under Part 3/4/5 and Part 9 construction.

**CSI DIVISION:** 06 00 00 WOOD, PLASTICS, AND COMPOSITES

**SUBDIVISION:** 06 81 00 Composite Railings

**CSI DIVISION:** 05 00 00 METALS

**SUBDIVISION:** 05 52 00 Metal Railings

# **CODE SECTIONS AND STANDARDS:**

| BCBC Div. B<br>Section | Description   | Referenced Standard or Div. B Section <sup>1</sup> | Year |
|------------------------|---|--|------|
| 3.3.1.18               | All Floor Areas, Guards   | 3.3.4.7, 3.3.5.10                                  | -    |
| 3.3.4.7                | Residential Occupancy, Stairs, Ramps, Landings, Handrails and Guards for Dwelling Units | 9.8  | -    |
| 3.3.5.10               | Industrial Occupancy, Guards  | -  | -    |
| 3.4.6.6                | Types of Exit Facilities, Guards  | 3.3.4.7, 3.3.5.10                                  | -    |
| 4.1.1.5                | Structural Loads and Procedures, Design Basis   | -  | -    |
| 4.1.3.2.(2)            | Limit States Design, Strength and Stability   | Table 4.1.3.2A                                     | -    |
| 4.1.3.4                | Limit States Design, Serviceability   | 4.1.3.5  | -    |
| 4.1.3.5                | Limit States Design, Deflection   | -  | -    |
| 4.1.5.14               | Loads on Guards and Handrails   | -  | -    |
| 4.1.7                  | Wind Load   | -  | -    |
| 4.3.1.1                | Design Basis for Wood   | CSA 086  | 2014 |
| 4.3.1.3                | Termites  | 9.3.2.9  | -    |
| 4.3.5.1                | Design Basis for Aluminum   | CSA S157   | 2005 |

# **BOCA ENGINEERING CO. | SPAR** STRUCTURAL & CIVIL CONSULTANTS

| 9.3.2.9.(5)(c)(i) | Termite and Decay Protection                          | CAN/CSA-080.1 | 2008 |
|-------------------|---|---------------|------|
| 9.4.1.1.(1)(c)(i) | Structural Design Requirements and Application Limits | Part 4        | -    |
| 9.8.8.2           | Loads on Guards                                       | Table 9.8.8.2 | -    |
| 9.8.8.3           | Height of Guards                                      | -             | -    |
| 9.8.8.5           | Openings in Guards                                    | -             | -    |
| 9.8.8.6           | Design of Guards to Not Facilitate Climbing           | -             | -    |

Only the applicable reference standards and code sections sited in the main body text are listed. (-) indicates that the main body text covers the full explanation of the objective.

| TABLE 1: OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION COMPLIANCE |  |                           |   |   |     |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|---|-----|
| Rail   |  | 2018 BCBC Div. B Sections |   |   |     |
| Installation                                 | Balusters 3.3.4.7  Group C Residential | 3.3.4.7                   | 3.3.5.10  | 9.8   | 9.8 |
| Description                                  |  | Group F Industrial        | Part 9 Housing & Small<br>Buildings, All guards | Part 9 Housing & Small<br>Buildings, Max 2 dwelling |     |
|  | Sq Comp                                | Yes                       | Yes   | Yes   | Yes |
| Standard                                     | Square Al                              | Yes                       | Yes   | Yes   | Yes |
|  | Round Al                               | Yes                       | No  | No  | Yes |
| Post Mount                                   | Sq Comp                                | Yes                       | Yes   | Yes   | Yes |
|  | Square Al                              | Yes                       | Yes   | Yes   | Yes |
|  | Round Al                               | Yes                       | No  | No  | Yes |

#### **COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

It is the opinion of Boca Engineering Co. that Trex Transcend® Railing, when installed as described in this report, has demonstrated compliance with the objectives and functional statements of the listed sections of the 2018 British Columbia Building Code (BCBC). Design and performance information can be found in the Product Evaluation section of this report.

This report has been prepared and reviewed on behalf of Boca Engineering Co. by:

Christopher Bowness, P.Eng., P.E.

PRODUCT EVALUATION Pg. 3 - 7**ATTACHMENTS:** Components Specifications Pg. 8 1. Assembly/Component Drawings and Allowable Post Spacing Tables 2. Pg. 9 - 15WPC Components, Materials Properties Pg. 16 3. Discussion of Limit States Design Procedure\_

# **EVALUATION REPORT TERMS:**

- 1. This report is a general evaluation of the building code section requirements as identified and applies only to the samples that were evaluated. It does not imply any endorsement or warranty, nor that the signatory Engineer is the Designer of Record of any construction project for which the information is used.
- 2. This Evaluation Report expires Dec. 31, 2023, open to renewal. Up to the renewal date, the report is valid until such time as the named product(s) changes, the Quality Assurance Agency changes, or provisions of the Code that relate to the product change.

x # 1002012

Pg. 17 – 18

# **Product Evaluation**

# 1.0 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION:

Trex Transcend® Railing is a guardrail system comprised of wood-plastic composite rails and post sleeves, aluminum or wood posts, wood-plastic composite (WPC) or aluminum balusters, glass-filled nylon brackets and metal screws.

| Configuration | Balusters        | No. of Footblocks     | Span Between Posts       | Guardrail Height                     |                  |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
|               | Square composite |                       | Up to 91.5 in (2,324 mm) |                                      |                  |
| Standard      | Square aluminum  | <b>2</b> <sup>†</sup> | [96 in (2,438 mm) OC]    | 42 in (1,067 mm)                     |                  |
|               | Round aluminum   |                       | Load-case specific       |                                      |                  |
|               | Square composite |                       | Up to 91.5 in (2,324 mm) |                                      |                  |
| Post mount    | Square aluminum  | <b>2</b> <sup>†</sup> | 2 <sup>†</sup>           | 2 <sup>†</sup> [96 in (2,438 mm) OC] | 42 in (1,067 mm) |
|               | Round aluminum   |                       | Load-case specific       |                                      |                  |

<sup>†</sup> Footblocks are positioned at the third points of the bottom rail (i.e., at 1/1L and 1/1L, where L is the span between posts).

In the standard configuration, the railing assembly is installed between two 4×4 wood posts concealed by wood-plastic composite (WPC) post sleeves, with the rail brackets fastened to the posts through the post sleeves. The wood posts are attached to the substructure.

In the post mount configuration, the railing assembly is installed between two aluminum posts concealed by wood-plastic composite (WPC) post sleeves, which slide over two post mount spacers fastened to the top and bottom of each post. In this configuration, the rail brackets are fastened to the post mount spacers through the post sleeves. The aluminum posts are welded to an aluminum baseplate with pilot holes ready to attach to the substructure. See the attachments section at the end of this report for loading-case specific allowable post spacings, component specifications, connection details, component diagrams, and assembly drawings.

### 1.1 MATERIALS PROPERTIES:

The structural components of the guard system comply with the materials specifications within:

Aluminum Components: CSA S157-05, Strength Design in Aluminum.

Steel Fasteners: CSA S16-14, Design of Steel Structures.

Wood Components: CSA 086-14, Engineering Design in Wood.

Wood-Plastic Composite (WPC) Components: ASTM D7032-17, Standard Specification for Establishing Performance Ratings for Wood-Plastic Composite and Plastic Lumber Deck Boards, Stair Treads, Guards, and Handrails. (Materials properties testing information of WPC components is provided in Attachment 3, Table 5 of this report.

# 2.0 INSTALLATION:

- 1. Trex Transcend® Railing components with fasteners are supplied as a package. Components are manufactured to size, ready for assembly at the jobsite.
- 2. In the post mount configuration package, the aluminum posts are supplied with baseplates prepared ready with bolt holes for surface mounting to a code-compliant framing sub-structure by methods specific to the building project design. Attachment to sub-structure methods are not covered in this design evaluation.
- 3. In the standard configuration package, wood posts are not supplied by Trex and are sourced at the job site. Attachment to sub-structure methods are not covered in this design evaluation.
- 4. Manufacturer's published installation instructions are available online at: https://www.trex.com/trex-owners/customer-support/downloads/#productinstall.
- 5. Manufacturer's installation instructions, building code, and additional details in this report are to be followed.

#### 3.0 CODE SECTIONS REVIEW:

# BCBC Div. B Description

# Section

# 3.3.1.18 All Floor Areas, Guards

Trex Transcend® Railing conforms to the dimensional and functional requirements, and the structural loading requirements, for the floor area occupancy classifications shown in Table 1 of this report. There are no intermediate horizontal components within the infill and the system does not facilitate climbing, for where article 3.3.1.18.(4) applies when guards are protecting a level located more than one storey or 4.2 m above the adjacent level.

# 3.3.4.7 Residential Occupancy, Stairs, Ramps, Landings, Handrails and Guards for Dwelling Units

The requirement is for Part 3 residential occupancy guards to conform to the requirements of Section 9.8. See this report commentary to article 9.8.

# 3.3.5.10 Industrial Occupancy, Guards

Trex Transcend® Railing with square composite or aluminum balusters conforms to the dimensional, functional and structural loading requirements of this Code section. See Table 1 of this report.

# 3.4.6.6 Types of Exit Facilities, Guards

Trex Transcend® Railing conforms to the dimensional, functional and structural loading requirements of this Code section for the occupancy classifications in Table 1 of this report.

# 4.1.1.5.(1) Structural Loads and Procedures, Design Basis

The guard system is composed of materials made of aluminum, steel, and wood, that conform to standards in Part 4, and composite materials that are not within the scope of a code-referenced standard but are within the scope of international standards CSA A500 and ASTM D7032. The design procedure under BCBC 4.1.1.5 consists of a combination of acceptable solutions methods using those materials standards within the code, and an alternative solution method using the materials properties of the composite materials and system testing results to evaluate those components to the performance requirements of the Code. An expanded discussion of the design procedure is provided in Attachment 4.

# 4.1.3.2.(2) Limit States Design, Strength and Stability

Limit states load combinations of Table 4.1.3.2-A have been considered in this design evaluation. The common maximum loading case scenario is found to be Case 2.

Section F.24 of User's Guide – NBC 2015, Structural Commentaries directs that guards are to be designed with load combinations for ultimate limit states.

Design load combinations used in this evaluation are:

Ultimate (ULS): 1.5L + 0.4W Service (SLS): 1.0L + (0.75)0.4W

# 4.1.3.4 Limit States Design, Serviceability

Fatigue, deflection, and temperature and moisture effects serviceability limits states have been considered in the design analysis.

# 4.1.3.5 Limit States Design, Deflection

The deflection limits have been determined in accordance with ASTM E985-00(2006), *Standard Specification of Permanent Metal Railing Systems and Rails for Buildings*, which is recommended for use in Section F.23 of User's Guide – NBC 2015, Structural Commentaries Part 4 of Division B.

# 4.1.5.14 Loads on Guards and Handrails

| Table 2: Design Live Loading and Deflection Limits |  |   |                  |
|--|--|---|------------------|
| Sub-section  | Load Type  | Design Service Live Load                  | Deflection Limit |
| 4.1.5.14.(3)                                       | Infill Lower Center                                    | 0.5 kN (112 lb), over 100 mm <sup>2</sup> | -                |
| 4.1.5.14.(3)                                       | Infill Middle Center                                   | 0.5 kN (112 lb), over 100 mm <sup>2</sup> | -                |
| 4.1.5.14.(1) <sup>1</sup>                          | Horizontal Uniform Load on Top Rail                    | 0.75 kN/m (52 lb/ft)                      | 70 mm (2.75 in)  |
| 4.1.5.14.(6)                                       | Vertical Uniform Load on Top Rail                      | 1.5 kN/m (102.7 lb/ft)                    | 25 mm (1 in)     |
| 4.1.5.14.(1) <sup>1</sup>                          | Concentrated Load at Midspan of Top Rail (horiz)       | 1.0 kN (224 lb)                           | 70 mm (2.75 in)  |
| 4.1.5.14.(1)1                                      | Concentrated Load at Top Rail Adjacent to Post (horiz) | 1.0 kN (224 lb)                           | -                |
| 4.1.5.14.(1) <sup>1</sup>                          | Concentrated Load at Top of Single Post (horiz)        | 1.0 kN (224 lb)                           | 89 mm (3.5 in)   |

<sup>1.</sup> Code states that these forces need not be considered to act simultaneously

The structural design analysis has been carried out in accordance with CSA S157-05, CSA O86-14, CSA A500-16, ASTM E935-13 and ASTM D7032-17. An expanded discussion of the design procedure is provided in Attachment 4.

The deflections measured at service level loads are found not to exceed the deflection limits determined in accordance with BCBC 4.1.3.5 shown in Table 2 of this report.

The system is able to resist an ultimate load of 2.25 times the service-level live load with companion wind load for each loading type shown in Table 2 of this report.

The rail system shape geometry and strength are the same in the inward and outward direction, satisfying the loading criteria of BCBC 4.1.5.14.(2).

The loading criteria of BCBC 4.1.5.14.(4) does not apply for occupancy classifications cited in this report. The reaction at the guard post base imparted to the building's main structure from the maximum loading scenario is an ultimate-level (factored) moment of 2.18 kN-m, which may be reduced to 1.58 kN-m for installations of only 2-posts. The site-specific base attachment must be designed to transfer this moment to the structure.

# 4.1.7 Wind Load

A nominal wind load of p 1.0 kPa ( $q_{1/50}$  0.63 kPa in rough terrain at 3-storey height) has been applied in the design model with applicable factors as per BCBC 4.1.7.1.(5)(a), Static Procedure for secondary structural members.

# 4.3.1.1 Design Basis for Wood

The design analysis of the wood components has been carried out in accordance with and complies with CSA O86-14-05, *Engineering Design in Wood*.

# **4.3.1.3** Termites

Refers to article 9.3. See this report commentary to BCBC 9.3.2.9.

# 4.3.5.1 Design Basis for Aluminum

The design analysis of the aluminum components has been carried out in accordance with and complies with CSA S157-05, *Strength Design in Aluminum*.

# 9.3.2.9.(5)(c)(i) Termite and Decay Protection

Trex Transcend® Railing WPC components have been tested for decay and termite resistance and found to perform as well as pressure-treated Pine lumber in accordance with CAN/CSA-O80.1 for UC3.2 exterior construction.

Where BCBC 4.3.1.3 or 9.3.2.9 requires termite-resistance of exterior wood components, wood posts supplied at the jobsite must comply with the respective Code requirements.

# 9.4.1.1.(1)(c)(i) Structural Design Requirements and Application Limits

The design methodology in this evaluation for determining conformance to Part 9 has been performed in accordance with BCBC 9.4.1.1.(1)(c)(i) using the loads and deflection limits specified in Part 9.

# 9.8.8.2 Loads on Guards

Trex Transcend® Railing is designed to resist the minimum specified loads for the guard types listed in BCBC Table 9.8.8.2, as detailed in Table 1 of this report.

# 9.8.8.3 Height of Guards

The top rail height of Trex Transcend® Railing is nominally 1070 mm (42 inches).

# 9.8.8.5 Openings in Guards

The openings between the intermediate infill members and between the bottom rail and deck surface of Trex Transcend® Railing does not exceed 100 mm (4 inches).

# 9.8.8.6 Design of Guards to Not Facilitate Climbing

There are no intermediate horizontal components within the infill and the system does not facilitate climbing, for where article 9.8.8.6 applies when guards are protecting a level located more than one storey or 4.2 m above the adjacent level.

# 4.0 LIMITATIONS:

- This evaluation is for the base code requirements of the building system as addressed in this report. In some building applications, additional performance objectives may be required by Code which must be addressed in the building design for those specific cases.
- 2. Design calculations, drawings, and special inspections are to be furnished for building projects by registered professionals as required by the respective jurisdictional authorities and Codes.
- 3. The design evaluation of Trex Transcend® Railing is of the guard system components only, installed as described in this report. Attachment of the post base to the main building structure has not been detailed or evaluated within the scope of this evaluation. The post-base reaction forces (in units of moment) for design of those elements has been discussed in comments to BCBC section 4.1.5.14, and labeled on the system configuration drawings.
- 4. Strength and performance values apply to temperature at deck surface ranging from -29°C to 52°C.

# 5.0 FIRE CLASSIFICATION:

Aluminum components of the guard system are a *non-combustible* material as defined in BCBC, Div A, 1.4.1.2. Wood-plastic composite and wood components of the guard system are a *combustible* material as defined in BCBC, Div A, 1.4.1.2.

Wood-plastic composite components tested to CAN/ULC S102.2 have a Flame Spread Index of 40.

# 6.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE ENTITY:

The products evaluated in this report are surveyed at the approved manufacturing locations with third-party quality assurance inspections and product certification labeling by Intertek.

#### 7.0 MANUFACTURING PLANTS:

Manufacturing and labeling location(s): Winchester, VA.

# 8.0 LABELING:

Labeling shall be in accordance with the requirements of and bear the certification mark of the Accredited Quality Assurance Agency.

# 9.0 REFERENCE TESTING AND EVALUATION DOCUMENTS:

| REFERENCE TESTING AND EVALUATION DOCUMENTS. |                                      |                             |                                 |             |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Entity                                      | Entity<br>Accreditation <sup>1</sup> | Standards                   | Report No.                      | Issue Date  |
| Trex  | Footnote 2                           | ASTM E935-13, ASTM D7032-17 | 190301-BA-2                     | 2019-07-19  |
| Intertek                                    | IAS TL 144                           | ASTM E935-13                | i1676.01-119-19-R0 <sup>3</sup> | 2019-07-16  |
| Intertek                                    | IAS TL 144                           | AWPA E10-11, ASTM D3345-08  | E5777.01-119-19                 | 2015-Nov-04 |
| Intertek                                    | IAS TL 144                           | ASTM D7032-14               | C2362.01-119-19                 | 2014-02-28  |
| Right Testing Labs                          | IAS TL 859                           | CAN/ULC S102.2-18           | RTL0028-1                       | 2020-03-27  |
| Trex  | Footnote 2                           | ASTM D7032-17               | 20200818                        | 2020-08-18  |
| Intertek                                    | IAS AA-647                           | Quality Assurance           | Spec ID: 34745                  | 2022-12-31  |

Testing, certification, evaluation, and inspection agencies referenced have been verified to be accredited by Standards Council of Canada (www.scc.ca) or International Accreditation Service (www.iasonline.org) for the applicable scope, in good standing on the date of the evaluation, in accordance with ISO 17025 and ISO 17020 international standards for testing and inspection bodies.

# **CERTIFICATION OF INDEPENDENCE:**

- 1. Boca Engineering Co., it's employees and shareholders, do not have, nor do they intend to or will acquire, a financial interest in any company manufacturing or distributing products that they evaluate.
- 2. Boca Engineering Co. is not owned, operated or controlled by any company manufacturing or distributing products that they evaluate.


<sup>2.</sup> Testing performed at manufacturer's R & D test facility witnessed by Boca Engineering Co.


<sup>3.</sup> Ultimate strength test of post, verified procedure is in accordance with ASTM E935-13.


# ATTACHMENTS 1 & 2: COMPONENTS SPECIFICATIONS AND ASSEMBLY DRAWINGS


|                         | Table 3: Trex Transcend® Railing, Components Specifications                                       |
|-------------------------|---|
| Component               | Description   |
| Top (crown) rail        | 3.312"-wide × 2.453"-high × 0.15"-thick (wall) × 91.5"-long, "crown"-shaped, extruded wood-       |
| Top (Crown) ran         | plastic composite profile   |
| Bottom (universal) rail | 3"-wide × 2"-high × 0.13"-thick (wall) × 91.5"-long, "H"-shaped, extruded wood-plastic composite  |
| Dottom (universal) ran  | profile   |
|                         | 1.418" square × 0.09"-thick (wall) × 36.375"-long, hollow, extruded wood-plastic composite tube   |
|                         | or  |
| Balusters               | 0.75" square × 0.05"-thick (wall) × 36.25"-long, hollow, extruded aluminum (6063-T6) tube         |
|                         | or  |
|                         | Ø0.75" round × 0.06"-thick (wall) × 36.25"-long, hollow, extruded aluminum (6063-T6) tube         |
| Baluster spacer         | 2.26"-wide × 0.07"-thick (wall) × 91.5"-long, extruded PVC profile with snap-fit feature for      |
| buluster spacer         | installing into top and bottom rails  |
| Rail insert             | 1.61"-wide × 0.54"-high × 0.05"-thick (wall) × 88.75"-long, extruded PVC profile (for use with    |
| Nan miser               | aluminum balusters only)  |
| Rail bracket            | Injection-molded glass-filled nylon bracket   |
| Footblock               | Three-piece, threaded (for height adjustment), injection-molded glass-filled nylon foot with two- |
| TOOLDIOCK               | piece, decorative, injection-molded ASA sleeve  |
|                         | Nominal 4×4 (89 mm x 89 mm) wood post, D Fir-L No. 1 grade, if pressure treated must be non-      |
|                         | incised type.   |
|                         | or  |
| Post                    | 2.5" square × 0.125"-thick (wall) × 41.5"-long, hollow, extruded aluminum (6063-T6) tube welded   |
|                         | on all four sides (0.25" × 0.25" fillet weld) using Ø0.045" aluminum (ER5356) wire to 4" square × |
|                         | 0.5"-thick aluminum (6063-T6) baseplate with four Ø0.406" holes spaced 3.25" on center in the     |
|                         | corners for anchors and one Ø0.406" hole in the center (overall length: 42")                      |
| Post mount spacer       | 3.63" square × 7"-long, hollow, extruded aluminum (6063-T6) tube with eight internal ribs (two    |
| Post mount spacer       | per side) running the entire length of the profile  |
| Post sleeve             | 4.45" square × 0.15"-thick (wall), hollow, extruded wood-plastic composite tube with 12 internal  |
| I OST SICENC            | ribs (three per side) running the entire length of the profile                                    |

| Table 4: Trex Transcend® Railing, Fastener Specifications |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Connection  | Fastener(s)   |  |
| Rail bracket to top (crown) and bottom (universal) rails  | (3) #8-18 × 1-1/4", #2 square drive, pan head, self-drilling, coated steel screws |  |
| For standard configuration                                |   |  |
| Rail bracket to 4×4 wood (cedar) post                     | (2) #8-10 × 2", #2 square drive, bugle head, coated steel wood screws             |  |
| (thru post sleeve)  | (2) #6-10 × 2 , #2 square drive, bugie flead, coated steel wood screws            |  |
| For post mount configuration                              |   |  |
| Post mount spacer to aluminum post                        | (1) #10-15 × 1", #2 square drive, flat head, self-drilling, stainless steel screw |  |
| Rail bracket to post mount spacer                         | (2) 40 15 v 1 1/4" #2 Phillips drive non-hood stainless steel servers             |  |
| (thru post sleeve)  | (2) #8-15 × 1-1/4", #2 Phillips drive, pan head, stainless steel screws           |  |





















A BALUSTER SPACER
NOT-TO-SCALE

B RAIL INSERT 4 NOT-TO-SCALE C RAIL BRACKET

NOT-TO-SCALE

# COMPONENTS: TYPICAL ALL SYSTEMS



DRAWING FOR ENGINEERING EVALUATION REPORT — NOT FOR USE AS CONSTRUCTION DESIGN DOCUMENTS

# COMPONENTS: TYPICAL ALL SYSTEMS

COPYRIGHT © 2022 RESERVED. THESE PLANS AND DESIGNS ARE AT ALL TIMES THE PROPERTY OF BOCA ENGINEERING CO. TO BE USED FOR THE PROJECT SHOWN. WRITTEN CONSENT IS REQUIRED FROM THE ENGINEER BEFORE ANY REPRODUCTION. CLIENT: | TITLE:

CLIENT:
TREX COMPANY, INC.

TREX TRANSCEND RAILINGS

COMPONENT DRAWINGS II

0 2022/12/31 FOR PUBLICATION CB
REV DATE ISSUE APP
DRAWING NO.
DES CB

DATE

DECEMBER 31, 2022


DATE


DECEMBER 31, 2022

CHK

CHK CB

BOCA ENGINEERING CO.





0 2022/12/31 FOR PUBLICATION СВ COPYRIGHT ©2022 RESERVED. THESE PLANS AND DESIGNS ARE AT ALL TIMES THE PROPERTY OF <u>BOCA ENGINEERING CO.</u>
TO BE USED FOR THE PROJECT SHOWN. WRITTEN CONSENT IS REQUIRED FROM THE ENGINEER BEFORE ANY REPRODUCTION. REV DATE APP ISSUE CLIENT: PROJECT: TITLE: DRAWING NO. DES СВ 0078-SK2 DWG SHEET 6 OF DRN TREX COMPANY, INC. CL TREX TRANSCEND RAILINGS COMPONENT DRAWINGS IV DATE DECEMBER 31, 2022 снк св



# DESIGN LOADS

**TEMPERATURE** 

LIVE (GUARD) SNOW SEE EVALUATION REPORT WIND

# LEGEND AND SYMBOLS





#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

| NOUNE VIII  | (110110    |        |                        |
|-------------|------------|--------|------------------------|
| CONT        | CONTINUOUS | O.C.   | ON CENTER              |
| EA          | EACH       | P.T.   | PRESSURE TREATED       |
| E/W<br>EXT. | EACH WAY   | S.G.   | SPECIFIC GRAVITY       |
| EXT.        | EXTERIOR   | SPEC.  | SPECIFICATION          |
| INT.        | INTERIOR   | NO.    | TYPICAL                |
| MAX         | MAXIMUM    | U.N.O. | UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE |
| MIN         | MINIMUM    | W/     | WITH                   |
| NO.         | NUMBER     | •      |                        |
|             |            |        |                        |

- STRUCTURAL WORK HAS BEEN DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CODES AND STANDARDS REFERENCED IN THE EVALUATION REPORT.
- THE STRUCTURAL FRAMING AND SHEATHING SHALL BE DESIGNED AND ANCHORED TO PROVIDE LATERAL BRACING AND PROPERLY TRANSFER ALL LOADS TO THE STRUCTURE. FRAMING DESIGN AND INSTALLATION IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE ENGINEER OR ARCHITECT OF RECORD FOR THE PROJECT OF INSTALLATION.
- THESE DRAWINGS APPLY TO THE TESTED ASSEMBLY ONLY AND DO NOT IMPLY THAT THE SIGNATORY ENGINEER IS THE DESIGNER OF RECORD FOR ANY FUTURE CONSTRUCTION ON WHICH THEY ARE USED.

# STING AND CODE COMPLIANCE

TREX COMPANY, INC.

- THE PRODUCT ASSEMBLY SHOWN HAS BEEN EVALUATED ACCORDING TO THE TEST STANDARDS AS OUTLINED IN THE EVALUATION REPORT.
- THE INSTALLATION DETAILS DESCRIBED ARE OF THE LABORATORY TESTED ASSEMBLY AND MAY NOT REFLECT ACTUAL CONDITIONS FOR A SPECIFIC SITE. IF SITE CONDITIONS DEVIATE FROM THE REQUIREMENTS DETAILED HEREIN, THE LICENSED ENGINEER OR ARCHITECT PREPARED SITE-SPECIFIC DOCUMENTS SHALL BE USED.

# INSTALLATION

FOR COMPLETE INSTALLATION DETAILS SEE TECHNICAL PRODUCT DATA ON PRODUCT MANUFACTURE'S WEBSITE.

# MATERIAL STANDARDS

#### **FASTENERS**

- WOOD SCREWS: ASME B18.6.1-81(2016)
- NAILS: ASTM F1667-15
- METAL SCREWS: AISI S240-15, ASTM C1513-13
- ALL FASTENERS CORROSION-RESISTANT OR STAINLESS STEEL **FRAMING** 
  - WOOD FRAMING: MIN. 2x4 S.G. 0.42, COMPLIANCE WITH CSA 0141-05
- METAL FRAMING: MIN. 18 GAUGE U.N.O., Fy = 33ksi, COMPLIANCE WITH CSA S136-12

# INSPECTIONS (FIELD REVIEWS)

- NOTIFICATION FOR INSPECTIONS AND INSTALLATION APPROVAL SHOULD BE COMPLETED AS OUTLINED BY ANY OF THE FOLLOWING APPLICABLE TO THE REFERENCED PROJECT:
- APPLICABLE LOCAL BUILDING CODE.
- 1.2. LOCAL BUILDING AUTHORITY.
- PROJECT SPECIFICATION DOCUMENTS BY OTHERS. 1.3.
- FIELD REVIEWS OF INSTALLATION ARE NOT COMPLETED BY THE EVALUATION REPORT ENGINEER.

DRAWING FOR ENGINEERING EVALUATION REPORT - NOT FOR USE AS CONSTRUCTION DESIGN DOCUMENTS

COPYRIGHT © 2022 RESERVED. THESE PLANS AND DESIGNS ARE AT ALL TIMES THE PROPERTY OF BOCA ENGINEERING CO. TO BE USED FOR THE PROJECT SHOWN. WRITTEN CONSENT IS REQUIRED FROM THE ENGINEER BEFORE ANY REPRODUCTION.

CLIENT: PROJECT: TITLE:

TREX TRANSCEND RAILINGS

GENERAL NOTES

2022/12/31 FOR PUBLICATION CB 0 REV DATE APP ISSUE DRAWING NO. DES CR

DWG SHEET 7 OF

DECEMBER 31, 2022

0078-SK2

DATE

CL CHK CB

DRN

BOCA ENGINEERING CO.

# **ATTACHMENT 3: WPC COMPONENTS, MATERIALS PROPERTIES**

| IAB              | LE 5: TREX TRANSCE                 | ND WOOD-PLASTIC COMPOSITE COMPONENTS, M.  | ATERIALS PROPERTIES I                    | PER ASTM D7032 |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|----------------|
| D7032<br>Section | Property                           | Requirement   | Val                                      | ue             |
| 4.4.1            | Modulus of<br>Rupture (MOR)        | Report Value  | 12,400 psi (85.5 MPa)                    |                |
| 4.4.2            | Modulus of<br>Elasticity (MOE)     | Report Value  | 661,600 psi                              | (4562 MPa)     |
|                  |                                    |   | Strength (52°C):                         | -14%           |
| 4.5.4            | Temperature                        | Report % change of strength and stiffness @   | Stiffness (52°C):                        | -14%           |
| 4.5.1            | Effect                             | 52°C & -29°C  | Strength (-29°C):                        | 0%             |
|                  |                                    |   | Stiffness (-29°C):                       | 0%             |
| 4.5.2            | NAciotamo Effort                   | Moisture Effect Report % change of strength and stiffness after 48 hrs of water submersion          | Strength:                                | -6%            |
| 4.5.2            | Moisture Effect                    |   | Stiffness:                               | -11%           |
| 4.6              | Ultraviolet (UV)                   | Iltraviolet (UV) Report % change of strength and stiffness after 2000 hrs of accelerated weathering | Strength:                                | 0%             |
| 4.6 Effect       | Effect                             |   | Stiffness:                               | 0%             |
|                  | Freeze-Thaw                        | Report % increase/decrease of strength and  | Strength:                                | -2%            |
| 4.7              | Effect                             | stiffness after three 24hr freezing cycles<br>@ -29°C   | Stiffness:                               | -4%            |
| 4.8.1            | Fungal Decay<br>Resistance         | Compare test block per AWPA E10 to ACQ pressure-treated lumber                                      | Performed equivalent to ACQ-treated Pine |                |
| 4.8.2            | Termite Decay<br>Resistance        | Compare test block per ASTM D3345 to ACQ pressure-treated lumber                                    | Performed equivalent to ACQ-treated Pine |                |
| 4.9              | Surface Burning<br>Characteristics | Flame-spread index no greater than 200 <sup>1</sup>   | 40                                       |                |
| C 1 1            | Determination of<br>Load Reaction  | Design strength and stiffness load reaction   | Strength:                                | None           |
| 6.1.1            | Adjustment<br>Factors              | Adjustment adjustment factors   | Stiffness:                               | None           |

CAN ULC/S102.2 test results substituted for ASTM E84 test.

# ATTACHMENT 4: DISCUSSION OF LIMIT STATES DESIGN PROCEDURE

# Load Combinations, Load and Resistance Factors, Test Factors

Applying load combinations of live plus wind in solid and open in-fill guard systems is required by the BCBC (see ref. Section F.24 of User's Guide – NBC 2015, Structural Commentaries and CSA A500-16 Section 4.2.3 Load Combinations for normative information).

Design of configured structural systems by strength testing of assemblies with a test load (safety) factor in limit states design necessitates the computation of a test load factor for the respective stress and failure type due to the various loading types of guards. Members are typically stressed to failure in bending or in shear, depending on the placement of the test load with respect to the connection/support of the member.

Test Load Factors are computed by:

$$Test \ Load \ Factor = \frac{Combined \ Load \ Factor}{Resistance \ Factor}$$

The resistance factor is taken from the materials standard for the respective stress type (e.g. shear stress on fillet welds: on ultimate,  $\Phi_f$  = 0.67, as per CSA S157).

With load combinations, the combined load factor is equal to:

Combined Load Factor = 
$$\frac{Total\ Combined\ Ultimate\ (Factored)\ Load}{Total\ Combined\ Service\ Load}$$

The test load becomes:

Test Load = (Test Load Factor) x (Total Combined Service Load)

# **Aluminum Components**

The design analysis of the aluminum components has been carried out in accordance with and complies with CSA S157-05, *Strength Design in Aluminum*, Section 6 Methods of Analysis and Design. More specifically, Section 6.2 Testing, where it states "the adequacy of a structural assembly may be determined by tests in accordance with Section 13." Where Section 13.2.2 states, "... tests shall be conducted to accepted procedures, such as provided by an appropriate ASTM standard..." which is ASTM E935-13, *Standard Test Methods for Performance of Permanent Metal Railing Systems and Rails for Buildings*.

Following CSA S157-05 Section 13.3.1.2, the 2.25 test load factor equates to the live load factor divided by the maximum effective resistance factor of  $\phi_f$  = 0.67 at the connections within the guard system for all loading scenarios in the BCBC Part 4 and 9, summarized in Table 2 of the report.

An individual post secured at the baseplate was taken in test, past the ultimate factored moment resulting from the maximum tributary reaction load of the factored horizontal uniform load on top rail over multiple spans.

# **Wood Posts**

Following design procedures of CSA 086-14, the wood post has been designed to resist the maximum factored loads tributary to the post with adjustment factors for short-term loading ( $K_D = 1.15$ ), wet-service conditions ( $K_S = 0.84$ ) and pressure treated non-incised factor ( $K_T = 1$ ). The 2.25 system test load factor at top of post exceeds the required effective safety factor of 1.72 calculated as the live load factor divided by the resistance factor with adjustment factors applied.

Connections in to the wood post have been tested to a proof load factor of 2.40, which equals the load factor divided by the resistance factor with adjustment factors for short-term loading ( $K_D = 1.15$ ), wet-service conditions ( $K_{SF} = 0.67$ ) and pressure treated non-incised factor ( $K_T = 1$ ).

# **Fasteners**

Common corrosion-resistant steel screws are used at the connections. The steel strength properties taken by design to CSA S16-14, *Design of Steel Structures*, are verified for the application by the system testing procedure.

# Wood-Plastic Composite (WPC) Components

The wood-plastic composite (WPC) components do not fit within a code-referenced material standard, so an alternatives solution design method is employed to ensure that the material as installed meets the minimum level of performance required by Code, by following BCBC Div A 1.2.1.1.(1).(b).

Appropriate published standards by organizations accredited by Standards Council of Canada have been used to complete the design.

CSA A500-16, Building Guards, App. Section F.2.2.2 Composites and Other Materials, Design, says "A nominal additional safety factor of 1.5 should be applied to the design loads to allow for possible ageing effects after long term weathering." Applying a 1.5 safety factor on the 1.5 load factor equates to the 2.25 test load factor, for all loading scenarios in Table 2 of this report with companion wind load applied. The test load factor of 2.25 yields an effective resistance factor of  $\phi_f = 0.67$  for all stress types in the WPC components.

ASTM D7032-17, Standard Specification for Establishing Performance Ratings for Wood-Plastic Composite and Plastic Lumber Deck Boards, Stair Treads, Guards, and Handrails, has methods for testing the effects of possible strength loss due to temperature, aging, moisture, and freeze-thaw. The standard also has procedures for guard system testing, which were used and modified as applicable to match the loading requirements of BCBC Part 4 and Part 9.

The applicable objectives and functional statements from BCBC Div A Part 2 and 3, taken from the referenced Div B acceptable solutions, that the WPC components have been verified to satisfy are:

Objectives are to limit the risk of injury, illness, or damages, caused by:

| Symbol | Description   |
|--------|---|
| OS2.1  | Ultimate loads on building elements   |
| OS2.3  | Damage or deterioration of building elements                                  |
| OS2.4  | Vibration or deflection of building elements                                  |
| OH4    | Illness attributed to vibration and deflection                                |
| OP2.1  | Loads bearing on the building elements that exceed their loadbearing capacity |
| OP2.3  | Damage to or deterioration of building elements                               |
| OP2.4  | Vibration or deflection of building elements                                  |

The building elements are to perform the following functions:

| Symbol | Description   |
|--------|---|
| F20    | Support and withstand expected loads                  |
| F21    | Limit dimensional change                              |
| F22    | Limit movements under expected loads and forces       |
| F80    | Resist deterioration from expected service conditions |

- END -

2022-12-31